Row-Level Security sucks. Can we make it usable? scale 22x

Jimmy Angelakos

About me

- Systems & Database Architect
- Based in Edinburgh, Scotland
- Open Source user & contributor (25+ years)
- PostgreSQL exclusively (16+ years)
- Author, PostgreSQL Mistakes and How to Avoid Them
- Co-author, PostgreSQL 16 Administration Cookbook
- pg_statviz PostgreSQL extension

Contents

- What is RLS?
- When to use it
- How it works
- How to use it

- What's wrong with it
- What to do about it
- More things to try

Motivation, etc.

- Customer wanted application users to not see each other's data
- Duh? But:
- Customer was used to application being badly coded
- REST URLs like /user/1234/data

What is Row-Level Security (RLS)?

- Fine-grained control over which rows are visible to which users
- Provides additional security beyond table or column level privileges

- It's a type of Access Control List (ACL)
- Saves you applicationside security filtering

When would you use RLS?

- Confidential data
 - Restrict access to sensitive records
- Role / department separation
 - e.g. only HR sees HRrelated content

- Multi-tenant systems
 - Separate data for each customer/tenant in the same DB
- Finer-grained visibility control (row vs table)

How does RLS work?

(i)

- From user perspective, rows they're not allowed to see "don't exist"
- Key concepts:
 - Policy
 - Conditions for reading/modifying rows
 - Security barrier
 - Query optimizer doesn't inline/restructure query to bypass RLS

How does RLS work?

(ii)

- It's exactly an ACL
- Internally, you are effectively adding WHERE conditions to the query
- Permissive / Restrictive policies
 - Permissive: policy_A OR policy_B (default)
 - Restrictive: policy_C AND policy_D

How does RLS work?

(iii)

- pg_catalog.pg_policy
 - polrelid: The table to which the policy applies
 - polcmd: The command for which the policy is: SELECT, INSERT, UPDATE, DELETE, all
 - polpermissive: Policy permissive (true) or restrictive
 - polroles: Array of roles that the policy applies to
 - polqual: USING clause
 - polwithcheck: WITH CHECK clause

How do I use RLS?

ALTER TABLE customers

ENABLE ROW LEVEL SECURITY;

Remember: deny by default



```
How do I use RLS?
```

CREATE POLICY custpolicy

ON customer

FOR ALL

TO public

USING customer_user = CURRENT_USER;

(ii)

```
How do I use RLS?
```

SELECT * **FROM** customer;



SELECT * FROM customer
(WHERE customer_user = CURRENT_USER);

Okay, but what about your clickbait title?

It does suck

- And RLS sucks too
- Why?

What's wrong with how RLS works? (i

- It assumes that your application works a certain way
- People generally don't have data separated by database user that accesses it
- You don't want Postgres to manage your application users
 - Roles system has global scope
 - Can't store user attributes/preferences

What's wrong with how RLS works?

- Your application connects to DB using a single user
 - Makes auditing difficult
 - Changing this would require a significant rewrite
- Aligning application users and DB roles is tedious
 - Spaghetti of GRANTs
 - You have to keep them in sync too



A possible solution

SET variables and use those in the POLICY

```
CREATE POLICY transpolicy
ON transaction FOR ALL TO public
USING
```

```
(tenant = current_setting('app.tenant'));
```

SET app.tenant = 'Megacorp';

A possible solution

Feeling paranoid?

```
CREATE POLICY transpolicy
ON transaction FOR ALL TO public
USING (tenant =
        current_setting('app.tenant')::uuid);
SET app.tenant =
```

Row-Level Security sucks. Can we make it usable?

'465f2480-bbca-4eb0-8dd5-c6310b724e37';

A possible solution

(iii)

Depending on whether you use connection pooling:

```
SET LOCAL app.tenant = '465f2480-bbca-4eb0-8dd5-c6310b724e37';
```

Want to take this a step further?

ACL + RBAC

- Explicit Access Control List
 and Role-Based Access Control
- Add an ACL column to the table:

```
ALTER TABLE transaction
ADD acl uuid[] NOT NULL DEFAULT '{}'::uuid[]
```

ARRAY of uuid (if we use UUIDs for role identifiers)

(ii)

SET the roles that are granted access in the ACL

```
SET app.tenant_roles =
   '{dda71d2d-67d8-4f00-b877-41ab442e62ea,
      039746dc-48a1-4e2a-b765-968f689ac84f}';
```

ACL + RBAC

(iii)

```
    What does the RLS policy look like?

 CREATE POLICY transrolepolicy
  ON transaction FOR ALL TO public
  USING (acl &&
  current_setting('app.tenant_roles')::uuid[]
  = true);
 ALTER TABLE transaction
```

ENABLE ROW LEVEL SECURITY;

ACL + RBAC

- The policy checks if any of the tenant roles are inside the ACL
- **RBAC**
 - Roles can have attributes that define their privileges
 - Like Postgres roles, can be thought of as "groups" (of one or more tenants)
 - Can be granted to other roles, and then you have an aggregate of the privileges
 - Yes, we parallel the PostgreSQL roles system \(\operatorname{O}\) \(\operatorname{O}\)





Want to dive even deeper?

How would you protect from application?

- After all, the application can connect to the DB and change roles and policies
- You hide direct access to this system from the application
- Why?
 - You don't trust your / third party application
- Let's assume Django app

```
Database-side
```

```
CREATE ROLE django;
CREATE TABLE transaction (
  id uuid PRIMARY KEY DEFAULT
      gen_random_uuid(),
  amount numeric,
  created_at timestamptz DEFAULT CURRENT_TIME,
  acl uuid[] NOT NULL DEFAULT '{}'::uuid[]
```

(ii)

 To speed up ACL enforcement, we need an index that supports ARRAY operations on it:

```
CREATE INDEX ON transaction
USING GIN (acl array_ops);
```

```
CREATE TABLE tenant_role (
  role_id uuid PRIMARY KEY,
  role_name text NOT NULL UNIQUE,
  role_description text
CREATE TABLE tenant_role_member (
 tenant_id uuid REFERENCES tenant(tenant_id),
  role_id uuid REFERENCES tenant_role(role_id);
CREATE INDEX ON tenant_role_member(tenant_id);
```

(iv)

 Remove the ability of DB user django to see inner workings: REVOKE ALL ON tenant_role FROM django; REVOKE ALL ON tenant_role_member FROM django; REVOKE SELECT ON transaction FROM django; GRANT SELECT (id, amount, created_at) ON transaction TO django;

 (\vee)

Create the policy:

```
CREATE POLICY trans_rls ON transaction
USING (acl &&
current_setting('app.tenant_roles')::uuid[]
= true);
```

ALTER TABLE transaction ENABLE ROW LEVEL SECURITY;

Expose RBAC to Django through functions

- create_tenant_role(_role_name text, _role_description text) RETURNS uuid enables creation of tenant roles
- get_tenant_roles(_tenant_id uuid) RETURNS uuid[] returns the roles that have been assigned to a tenant
- set_tenant_roles(_tenant_id uuid, _roles uuid[])
 RETURNS boolean
 sets all roles for a tenant

One more thing...

- For each table, we need an "add role to row acl" function and a "remove role from row acl function"
- These can be called e.g. by overriding Django's .save()
- Important when using SECURITY DEFINER:
 SET search_path = public, pg_temp;
 (at the bottom of each function definition)

"Add role to row ACL" function

```
CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION order_acl_add(_id uuid, _role_id uuid)
RETURNS boolean AS $$
DECLARE _current_roles uuid[]; r uuid;
BFGTN
UPDATE order SET acl = (
    CASE WHEN _role_id = ANY(acl) THEN acl
    ELSE array_append(acl, _role_id) END)
WHERE id = _id;
IF FOUND THEN RETURN true;
ELSE RETURN false;
END IF;
END $$
LANGUAGE plpgsql
SECURITY DEFINER
SET search_path = public, pg_temp; -- IMPORTANT with SECURITY DEFINER
```

Finally, a few potential RLS catches

- Policies can add overhead to queries
 - Especially complex conditions
 - Keep policies simple and explicit
- Superuser can bypass all RLS checks
- Table owner can bypass RLS check if
 FORCE ROW LEVEL SECURITY is not specified

Finally, a few potential RLS catches (ii

- Set a restrictive **DELETE** policy
 - So that people can't delete rows they can read but not update
- Make sure you reset variables between sessions
 - PgBouncer statement mode won't work with SET/SET LOCAL
- Ensure WITH (SECURITY BARRIER) is in place for views
 - To stop malicious function overrides with cost
 0.000000000001 etc.



45% off everything! Code: jascale22x



29% off at amazon.com

